

ISSN 2518-1483 (Online),  
ISSN 2224-5227 (Print)

2017 • 1

ҚАЗАҚСТАН РЕСПУБЛИКАСЫ  
ҰЛТТЫҚ ҒЫЛЫМ АКАДЕМИЯСЫНЫҢ

## БАЯНДАМАЛАРЫ

---

## ДОКЛАДЫ

НАЦИОНАЛЬНОЙ АКАДЕМИИ НАУК  
РЕСПУБЛИКИ КАЗАХСТАН

## REPORTS

OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES  
OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN

ЖУРНАЛ 1944 ЖЫЛДАН ШЫҒА БАСТАҒАН

ЖУРНАЛ ИЗДАЕТСЯ С 1944 г.

PUBLISHED SINCE 1944



Бас редакторы  
х.ғ.д., проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі **М.Ж. Жұрынов**

Редакция алқасы:

**Адекенов С.М.** проф., академик (Қазақстан) (бас ред. орынбасары)  
**Боос Э.Г.** проф., академик (Қазақстан)  
**Величкин В.И.** проф., корр.-мүшесі (Ресей)  
**Вольдемар Вуйцик** проф. (Польша)  
**Гончарук В.В.** проф., академик (Украина)  
**Гордиенко А.И.** проф., академик (Белорус)  
**Дука Г.** проф., академик (Молдова)  
**Илолов М.И.** проф., академик (Тәжікстан),  
**Леска Богуслава** проф. (Польша),  
**Локшин В.Н.** проф. чл.-корр. (Қазақстан)  
**Нараев В.Н.** проф. (Ресей)  
**Неклюдов И.М.** проф., академик (Украина)  
**Нур Изура Удзир** проф. (Малайзия)  
**Перни Стефано** проф. (Ұлыбритания)  
**Потапов В.А.** проф. (Украина)  
**Прокопович Полина** проф. (Ұлыбритания)  
**Омбаев А.М.** проф. (Қазақстан)  
**Өтелбаев М.О.** проф., академик (Қазақстан)  
**Садыбеков М.А.** проф., корр.-мүшесі (Қазақстан)  
**Сатаев М.И.** проф., корр.-мүшесі (Қазақстан)  
**Северский И.В.** проф., академик (Қазақстан)  
**Сикорски Марек** проф., (Польша)  
**Рамазанов Т.С.** проф., корр.-мүшесі (Қазақстан)  
**Такибаев Н.Ж.** проф., академик (Қазақстан), бас ред. орынбасары  
**Харин С.Н.** проф., академик (Қазақстан)  
**Чечин Л.М.** проф., корр.-мүшесі (Қазақстан)  
**Харун Парлар** проф. (Германия)  
**Энджун Гао** проф. (Қытай)  
**Эркебаев А.Э.** проф., академик (Қырғыстан)

«Қазақстан Республикасы Ұлттық ғылым академиясының баяндамалары»  
ISSN 2518-1483 (Online),  
ISSN 2224-5227 (Print)

Меншіктенуші: «Қазақстан Республикасының Ұлттық ғылым академиясы» Республикалық қоғамдық бірлестігі (Алматы қ.)  
Қазақстан республикасының Мәдениет пен ақпарат министрлігінің Ақпарат және мұрағат комитетінде 01.06.2006 ж.  
берілген №5540-Ж мерзімдік басылым тіркеуіне қойылу туралы куәлік

Мерзімділігі: жылына 6 рет.

Тиражы: 2000 дана.

Редакцияның мекенжайы: 050010, Алматы қ., Шевченко көш., 28, 219 бөл., 220, тел.: 272-13-19, 272-13-18,  
[http://nauka-nanrk.kz\\_reports-science.kz](http://nauka-nanrk.kz_reports-science.kz)

© Қазақстан Республикасының Ұлттық ғылым академиясы, 2017

Типографияның мекенжайы: «Аруна» ЖК, Алматы қ., Муратбаева көш., 75.

Главный редактор  
д.х.н., проф., академик НАН РК **М. Ж. Журинов**

Редакционная коллегия:

**Адекенов С.М.** проф., академик (Казахстан) (зам. гл. ред.)  
**Боос Э.Г.** проф., академик (Казахстан)  
**Величкин В.И.** проф., чл.-корр. (Россия)  
**Вольдемар Вуйцик** проф. (Польша)  
**Гончарук В.В.** проф., академик (Украина)  
**Гордиенко А.И.** проф., академик (Беларусь)  
**Дука Г.** проф., академик (Молдова)  
**Илолов М.И.** проф., академик (Таджикистан),  
**Леска Богуслава** проф. (Польша),  
**Локшин В.Н.** проф. чл.-корр. (Казахстан)  
**Нараев В.Н.** проф. (Россия)  
**Неклюдов И.М.** проф., академик (Украина)  
**Нур Изура Удзир** проф. (Малайзия)  
**Перни Стефано** проф. (Великобритания)  
**Потапов В.А.** проф. (Украина)  
**Прокопович Полина** проф. (Великобритания)  
**Омбаев А.М.** проф. (Казахстан)  
**Отелбаев М.О.** проф., академик (Казахстан)  
**Садьбеков М.А.** проф., чл.-корр. (Казахстан)  
**Сатаев М.И.** проф., чл.-корр. (Казахстан)  
**Северский И.В.** проф., академик (Казахстан)  
**Сикорски Марек** проф., (Польша)  
**Рамазанов Т.С.** проф., чл.-корр. (Казахстан)  
**Такибаев Н.Ж.** проф., академик (Казахстан), зам. гл. ред.  
**Харин С.Н.** проф., академик (Казахстан)  
**Чечин Л.М.** проф., чл.-корр. (Казахстан)  
**Харун Парлар** проф. (Германия)  
**Энджун Гао** проф. (Китай)  
**Эркебаев А.Э.** проф., академик (Кыргызстан)

«Доклады Национальной академии наук Республики Казахстан»

ISSN 2518-1483 (Online),

ISSN 2224-5227 (Print)

Собственник: Республиканское общественное объединение «Национальная академия наук Республики Казахстан» (г. Алматы)

Свидетельство о постановке на учет периодического печатного издания в Комитете информации и архивов Министерства культуры и информации Республики Казахстан №5540-Ж, выданное 01.06.2006 г.

Периодичность: 6 раз в год.

Тираж: 2000 экземпляров

Адрес редакции: 050010, г.Алматы, ул.Шевченко, 28, ком.218-220, тел. 272-13-19, 272-13-18

<http://nauka-nanrk.kz> [reports-science.kz](http://reports-science.kz)

---

©Национальная академия наук Республики Казахстан, 2017 г.

Адрес типографии: ИП «Аруна», г.Алматы, ул.Муратбаева, 75

**E d i t o r i n c h i e f**doctor of chemistry, professor, academician of NAS RK **M.Zh. Zhurinov****E d i t o r i a l b o a r d :****Adekenov S.M.** prof., academician (Kazakhstan) (deputy editor in chief)**Boos E.G.** prof., academician (Kazakhstan)**Velichkin V.I.** prof., corr. member (Russia)**Voitsik Valdemar** prof. (Poland)**Goncharuk V.V.** prof., academician (Ukraine)**Gordiyenko A.I.** prof., academician (Belarus)**Duka G.** prof., academician (Moldova)**Ilolov M.I.** prof., academician (Tadjikistan),**Leska Boguslava** prof. (Poland),**Lokshin V.N.** prof., corr. member. (Kazakhstan)**Narayev V.N.** prof. (Russia)**Nekludov I.M.** prof., academician (Ukraine)**Nur Izura Udzir** prof. (Malaysia)**Perni Stephano** prof. (Great Britain)**Potapov V.A.** prof. (Ukraine)**Prokopovich Polina** prof. (Great Britain)**Ombayev A.M.** prof. (Kazakhstan)**Otelbayv M.O.** prof., academician (Kazakhstan)**Sadybekov M.A.** prof., corr. member. (Kazakhstan)**Satayev M.I.** prof., corr. member. (Kazakhstan)**Severskyi I.V.** prof., academician (Kazakhstan)**Sikorski Marek** prof., (Poland)**Ramazanov T.S.** prof., corr. member. (Kazakhstan)**Takibayev N.Zh.** prof., academician (Kazakhstan), deputy editor in chief**Kharin S.N.** prof., academician (Kazakhstan)**Chechin L.M.** prof., corr. member. (Kazakhstan)**Kharun Parlar** prof. (Germany)**Endzhun Gao** prof. (China)**Erkebayev A.Ye.** prof., academician (Kyrgyzstan)**Reports of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan.****ISSN 2224-5227****ISSN 2518-1483 (Online),****ISSN 2224-5227 (Print)**

Owner: RPA "National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan" (Almaty)

The certificate of registration of a periodic printed publication in the Committee of Information and Archives of the Ministry of Culture and Information of the Republic of Kazakhstan N 5540-Ж, issued 01.06.2006

Periodicity: 6 times a year

Circulation: 2000 copies

Editorial address: 28, Shevchenko str., of.219-220, Almaty, 050010, tel. 272-13-19, 272-13-18,

<http://nauka-nanrk.kz> / [reports-science.kz](http://reports-science.kz)

© National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, 2017

Address of printing house: ST "Aruna", 75, Muratbayev str, Almaty

**T.K. Abdrassilov, K.K. Kaldybay**

Kh.A. Yasawi International Kazakh-Turkish University, Turkestan, Kazakhstan  
e-mail:kaldibaykaynar@list.ru, turganbay33@mail.ru

### **PHILOSOPHICAL AND ETHICAL VALUES OF BUDDHISM**

**Abstract.** The aim of this article is to demonstrate the opinions of the major schools of Buddhism towards the notion of an individual in Buddhism. Continuous improvement of man, transforming his world of values is a decisive condition for the development of society and culture. Spiritual person, enriching it with new knowledge becomes a condition of harmonic development of the personality. An important role is played by primarily religious and spiritual values. The problem of the influence of religious values in the formation of human personality is relevant, especially at the present time due to the increased interest in religious-philosophical teachings. The development of world culture has a tendency to interact between traditional Eastern European civilization and modern industrial civilization directed. Man plays a central role in Buddhist culture, despite its transcendental orientation. The spiritual qualities of a person have a direct impact on its vital functions, tolerance, discipline, his attitude. In our time, the spiritual principles of Buddhism, such as tolerance, humanity, compassion, flexibility are quite popular. Buddhist spiritual consciousness contributes significantly to favorable interpersonal relations, which have a beneficial influence on inter ethnic and inter cultural contacts.

**Keywords:** Buddhism, religion, philosophy, anthropology, personality concept.

The place and role of the individual in the world's religions remains a very important issue, as the intensification of the processes of inter-religious and cross-cultural interaction leads to the fact that the traditional practice of personal identity and socialization do not work anymore. In this regard, Buddhism is a unique religion because of its anthropological component. Due to its specific characteristics, Buddhism can be considered not as a religious or philosophical movement, but as a psychological school.

Anthropological principle of Buddhism begins with its founder – Siddhartha Gautama (the Buddha). Unlike other religious figures, Buddha never claimed that he was more than a man; he did not say that he was God incarnated in a human body. Since the Buddha was just a man, the state of “enlightenment”, which he has achieved, is accessible to all people. There are no major formal religion attributes in Buddhism; there is no God or deified nature, no canons and dogmas, there is no redemption, and no “savior”, Buddhism does not force its followers to “believe” in anything. Buddhism is rather a set of spiritual practices, and everyone assure themselves in its usefulness, if he wishes it. Consequently, the Buddha did not strive to create the religion, and the Buddhist community was beyond religion and had a teaching orientation. Buddha avoided metaphysical issues to the same extent; the essence of being, spirit and world were not interest for him. He believed that the philosophizing brings nothing good to the person in his Way. He thought that the purification of life and training of mind are more important. The main objective of Buddhism is to reduce human suffering. This emphasizes the anthropological principle of Buddhism and psychology once again. Buddhism considers a man in natural terms. Humankind seemed to be fettered in an assemblage of desires and aspirations, which are equally based on biology and psychology. That is exactly why Buddhism is characterized by positive and objective attitude towards all living beings [1]. The man and the process of its development, which includes enlightenment is a core value for Buddhists.

**Literature review.** Buddhist traditions are more than 2,500 years old, and all this time they were free from dogmatism and conservatism incident to religions. The practice of Buddhism is the way of constant self-improvement and self-testing. Buddhists follow a practical but challenging for achieving doctrine of “Middle Way”, the path between any extremes in any sphere. The fundamental principles of Buddhism contribute to effective decision making on a personal level. In the anthropological orientation of Buddhism, the universalist tendencies and moral experience of Indian civilization are united. Being addressed to the person, Buddhism emphasizes the perspective of human existence through the ability to achieve an enlightened, the highest state, which has precedence of all other forms of existence. Buddhism is able to overcome ethnic, cultural and even religious barriers, as it is addressed to a certain person and is able to respond to the psychological and moral needs of people from distinctly different social and cultural groups. The fundamental principles of Buddhist culture are tolerance, humanity, openness, readiness for conflict-free interaction with other cultures [2]. Buddhism highly evaluates the human potential, considering human as the only being capable of spiritual self-development. The attainment of nirvana is an ideal of spiritual development and the goal of all Buddhist practices. In Buddhism, terminology, marking identity, personality, and the “I” refers not only to a man, but also to all living beings having consciousness (sems can). The list includes six categories of creatures of the desire realm: (‘dod khams) – gods, asuras, humans, animals, preta, inhabitants of hells, beings from the form realm (gzugs khams) and the formless realm (gzugs med khams). Some of these concepts are found in the literature of the sutras, in other words their development refers to a quite early period of Buddhist thought (such as atman = bdag, pudgala = jiva), others appeared rather late as a result of the development of the concept of lack of selfhood in the commentary literature, especially in the Tibetan. With the improving of the philosophical analysis of the “I” problem some of the terms, marking the individuality, have disappeared from philosophical discourse, their semantic fields lose their certainty, and they are used almost interchangeably. With the development of Mahayana, which asserts selflessness (anatma = bdag med) not only of the individual (pudgala = gang zad), but also of the phenomena (dharma=chos), the main focus of the analysis was to consider selflessness as property, common as for the individual as for the phenomena. In the Indian Buddhism, the main controversy was conducted between representatives of the Mahayana and Hinayana (as Mahayanists started to call schools of the previous period), adepts of Madhyamaka and Vijnanavada, Madhyamika-Svatantrika and Madhyamika-Prasangika. The philosophical literature of Indian Buddhism, preserved in the originals or Tibetan or Chinese translations, gives us a notion about the main directions of this dispute, though, as we can judge from the historical literature, a great number of texts have been lost. Having considered the views of various Buddhist schools on the personality and the problems of its development, the evolution of ideas about the identity of Buddhism was explicated. How did the anthropological principle of Buddhism change in the course of time? The complex nature of the problem has predetermined a comprehensive methodology, which includes semiotic and hermeneutic theoretical and methodological approaches to the study of Buddhism, as well as the methods of historical and philosophical reconstruction, structural and functional methods, philosophical and theological analysis of the published literature, contextual analysis. Personological Buddhist doctrine has arisen as a result of the controversy with substantial views on the personality, which was developed in orthodox religious and philosophical schools of Hinduism. Critical analysis of these views is found in the Sutras, where the full or partial citation of the twelve, and sometimes more terms, is made, marking a person as a subject of activity (ayatana = byed pa po'i skye mched). This is also evident in the works of orthodox and heterodox Indian philosophical systems:

- 1) I – atman (bdag po);
- 2) the individual – pudgala (gang zag);
- 3) the vital essence – jiva (srog);
- 4) the spirit – purusa (skyes bu);
- 5) the conscious one – sattva (sems can);
- 6) the movable one (gso ba);
- 7) the raised one (gso ba);
- 8) the powerful one (shed can);

- 9) the ruler (shed bdag);
- 10) the performer (byed pa po);
- 11) the one who feels (tshor ba po);
- 12) the knowing one (shes pa po);
- 13) the seeing one (mthong pa po);
- 14) the enjoyer (za ba po).

Therefore, the followers of Vaisheshika and Vedanta refer to “I” (atman), followers of Samkhya – to the spirit (purusa), Jains – to the vital essence (jiva). Concepts of the subject, developed in these schools are considered by the Central Asian erudit monks in “siddhanta” (grub mtha’) – the works on the history of philosophy. The followers of Sankhya endow purusa with five gunas or properties (yon tan); and the followers of Vaisheshika outline nine gunas of atman. What is regarded as a subject under different names in the schools of non-Buddhists (mu stegs can), the Buddhists usually qualify with the word “I” and believe that its all features can be summarized in three main “I”:

- (1) permanent (eternal);
- (2) one;

(3) independent (bdag ni rtog pa’i dugos po). From this perspective, the concept of “I” has three extraordinary teachings (khyad chos):

- 1) a constant, eternal thing (rtog pa’i dugos po) (i.e. something real, opposable to unreal, illusory);
- 2) the one who has no parts, one, singular (cha med kyi gcig pu);

3) the one endowed with autonomy and independence ruling over skandhas (phung po la bdag sgyur ba po’i rang can). Buddhists believe that if “I” was completely absent, then there would be no subject of salvation, and at the same time, this argument has its own characteristics in each of the schools of Buddhism.

An analysis of the sources allowed us to determine six basic interpretations of the individual in the various schools of Buddhism [3].

1. Followers of Sammitiya believe that if a personality is rejected, excellent and independent from the skandhas, psychophysical assemblage, than five skandhas should be recognized as the personality. This approach caused a lot of disputes. Therefore, if the person is skandhas, than you have to admit that there were other individuals (not only “I”), because other skandhas were in past lives. Although, this contradicts uncommon expression of Buddha in the Sutras. He said, “At that time, in those days I was somebody”. The law of karma is also should be ignored, as the results of acts committed by one person, will be “experienced” by another one. In addition, the skandhas emerge and collapse every moment, they are changing all the time. It means, that a person, lacking invariant core, would be different in every moment, which also leads to a rejection of the law of karma. Some followers of Vaibhashika consider as a person only the assemblage of skandhas (tshogs tsum). In the same way, that forest is not a single tree, but their assemblage, as well as the person is not five separate skandhas, but their combination and unity. However, the assemblage does mean something distinct from its parts, which can be considered an argument "for" at a glance of Sammitiya [4].

2. Followers of Pudgalavada consider as a personality “pure consciousness” (sems gcig bu), which also causes controversy. Consciousness is characterized by volatility, which leads to the denial of the law of karma. Thus, since it denies the idea of the personality as a different from skandhas and identical to skandhas or one of them (“pure consciousness”), then such a personality should be conventionally recognized either absent or present. If the first case is true, we have to reject the idea of the individual in general, as well as the law of karma, the path of liberation. Moreover, it would be necessary to recognize, that eating, drinking, engaging in various works are unneeded, because elementary there is no one who does it. In this case, while the individual is denied, substantiality exists, it should be also considered as existing figuratively. For example, no one will deny that the human body exists. However, it exists only in connection and in relation to its parts; it is not found outside and apart from them.

3. Kashmiri (kha che ba) followers of Vaibhashika and Sautrantika, “following an authoritative texts”, recognize the individual flow of skandhas (rgyud). This refers to the fact that the flow (santana) of moments of the skandhas existence serves as “the basis of recognizing” of existing figuratively individual,

and as “the basis of denomination” with the name of “individual”. Although skandhas continuously change, and in the process of transformation, one skandha is being replaced by another one, but the flow of moments of their existence is not being interrupted, it remains the same. The followers of Prasangika deny the admissibility of “birth” dharma, not only in absolute terms but also in terms of relative truth. The form of the existence of dharmas is called the flow, and it is characterized by the fact that previous dharma moments generate the next, while being mutually different. The followers of Prasangika deny the possibility of such flow, even in relative terms. If the idea of flow is unacceptable, the accepted thought should be rejected in connection and in relation to the flow [5].

4. The followers of Sautrantika and Vijnanavada, “following evidences”, as well as Yogacara-Madhyamaka-Svatantrika and most supporters of the ideas Bhāvaviveka (the founder of Svatantrika), consider the individual “mental consciousness” or “conceivable mind” – manovijnana (yid kyi rnam shes). In their view, Vijñāna gets the existence. This approach aroused criticism. While entering a trance samahita or a special kind – samapatti, absorption of cessation (‘gog snyoms), mental elements and consciousness are in complete absence. Thus, while being in trance, manas-vijnana and, accordingly, kleshas and karma would be lacking. The followers of Vijnanavada, “following an authoritative texts”, and some followers of Madhyamaka-Svatantrika consider an individual as alayavijnana (basic consciousness). A lot of people deny the possibility of the existence of the alaya-vijnana, even in relative terms, believing that this term in the Sutras is conventionally used for sunyata – the forlornness of own essence. In addition, the alaya-vijnana refers to a category of consciousness, and consciousness with the psychic elements are absent in the samahita trance state. The question that has to be answered is – where are kleshas and karma at this time? Supporters of manovijnana and alaya-vijnana argue that in that period, only “rough” consciousness is missing, but there is a “thin” consciousness in the form of wisdom, directly involved in the absolute. This wisdom is described as undissipated (zag med). Therefore, there should be no vicious – kleshas and karma. For example, if we assume what the followers of Svatantrika do during samahita, kleshas are not in the mind due to incomplete set of causes and conditions necessary for their appearance. However, during the process of leaving the trance, kleshas arise again, and this idea seems unacceptable also, because the absence of kleshas and other things would not differ a person from the Buddha. The followers of Prasangika believe that if a person, on the one hand, is not skandhas or do not differs from them, and on the other – cannot be considered as absent, the person would be “just a name”. In addition, its conditional existence is recognized in connection and relation to skandhas (phung po la brten nas gdags pa). The followers of Prasangika conclude that the skandhas are “basis for admitting” the personality, the thing in connection and in relation to which it is recognized, and a personality is a dharma recognized in connection and in relation to it. This dharma they generally call “mere notion of I” (nga tsam), where the word “mere” (tsam), is added in order to distinguish it from “I” (bdag), which they consider entirely absent. Though such an individual exists, but not by his own esse, indication and being. The evolution of Buddhism ontological views led to the development of views on the person. Initially, Buddhism, denying the existence of the personality as a single, eternal and independent substance (which found expression in anatman concept – bdag med), considered the personality as a stream of a range of psychophysical factors (chos). The flow is developed by an inertia of antecedent actions (las), and these factors were designated as a substance. This position had been predominant, but in the course of the evolution of the Buddhist doctrines, the idea of the personality being a separate substance, and ideas about the presence of all the substantial bearers constituting the objective world were dismissed. The denial of self-existent being, and the adoption of nominalism were the next stages in the development of philosophical thought of Buddhism [6]. The most logical expression of those ideas were found in the teachings of Madhyamaka Prasangika. Personality is interpreted as a construct formed on the path of life, and the “way of salvation” (i.e., secondary socialization in Buddhist subculture) is expounded as a consistent reflection, allowing to overcome attitudes formed on the basis of false personal identity and to get rid of frustration. The interpretation of personality as a construct, and the deconstruction as enlightenment which has non-signed character, are the theoretical basis of its openness. This approach to the personality in Buddhism has a long history of practical application in different historical conditions. The approach allowed the



followers of Buddhism to adapt effectively to the changing social and cultural conditions in all stages of its historical development. The followers of Prasangika consider the absolute truth as authentic, and the relative truth, in their opinion is the same absolute, but it is neither examined nor analyzed (*ma brtags ma dpyad*) and exists in the highest sense. In the latter case, the true is taken in ontological terms as a kind of current reality. Therefore, they provisionally accept the relative truth as ordinary consciousness of temporal beings. The perception of the being is caused by ignorance; and it recognizes the truth of the existence. Moreover, to a certain extent, for the mind, which has purified from the *kleshas* of the saint, whose perception in the period of sojourn in *samahita* is influenced by “past perception” (*vasana*), the specified type of ignorance is removed from the consciousness after cessation of *vasana*. A twofold interpretation of fundamental Buddhist philosophizing principle of the middle (*dbu ma nyid*) by the followers of Prasangika reflected in the foregoing. The principle has the denial form (*dgag phyogs nas*). It can be presented in the statement “where A does not exist, and B does not exist” (here A and B are mutually exclusive dharmas, for example, real – unreal). The form of affirmation (*sgrub phyogs nas*) is expressed by the principle of nondualism (*gnyis med*) “where A and B exist”. The first statement allows to go beyond the relativity to the absolute, and the second statement allows to be engaged in philosophizing, creating concepts, which would adequately describe things of existence and provide a pillar of the orientation in this world. Taking into account the problem of the definition of “real – unreal” the followers of Prasangika make a distinction between “real” and “real due to its own existence”, “unreal” and “unreal due to its own existence”. At the same time, the concepts of “real”, “existing conditionally” and “being in evidence in connection and in relation” are equivalent. From this perspective, a personality is defined as existing personality apart from its own being [7]. Upon that, the individual existence appears in the middle between the authentic existence and absolute non-existence. Such an existence is the most representative by the formula “the common name of an existing conditionally” (*ming rkyang btags yod*). When in the shadows the circinate rope appears as a snake, then this “snake” is appraised as absent “for its part” (*on-site the rope*). Therefore, such a “snake” can be described as a “common name”, and its existence is acceptable to be considered as a nominal – “it can be recognized only because of having the name” (*ming gi tha snyad pas btags tsam bzhas*). Considering that there is no snake, but the subject has the perception of the snake, it is obvious that such a perception should be classified as conceptual (*‘dzin rtog*) and characterized as involved notion of the snake. Therefore, the existence of this “snake” reasonably should be regarded as “something considered in virtue of conceptual admitting” (*rtog pas btags tsam bzhas*). The followers of Prasangika considered everything missing “for its part”, so they recognize everything as “simple names”. However, the things have a fundamental difference from the “snake”; their existence is not refuted by empirically true knowledge (*pramana*). In the case of the “snake”, it is better to come closer, to illuminate the object and make sure that it is only a rope. What is not refuted by the true knowledge is called authentic. The authentic thing is supposed to be real and to be able to perform a function. Nevertheless, the empirically true knowledge maps the phenomenon of the thing as such (because it does not exist for its part), but a thing “based on the phenomenon” (*snang gzhi*). Therefore, the existence of things is defined as relative and occurring only in terms of relativity (*kun rdzob tu, tha snyod du*) [8].

The problem of the human person in Buddhism is reflected through the perception of human desires and aspirations as the sources of “suffering”. Essential Buddhism is not only a religion or philosophy – it is also a school of psychology. The concept of identity in the basic schools of thought in Buddhism can be adequately understood only in the perspective of Buddhist soteriology. The article substantiates the position that attitude to the ontological status of the essential core of the person is the main differentiating feature, which separates Buddhist schools in philosophically and psychologically and determines their soteriological features. The concept of personality was examined in six main directions of Buddhism. Special attention is paid to specific attitude to the identity in Prasangika school of thought. As the result of comparative analysis, tendencies of the development of personality concept in Buddhism were established [9]. This approach is treated as a principle of middle. It avoids extremes of existence and non-existence.

Thus wise, the personality is the existing conventionally dharma, supposed to be the “common name”. As an existing thing, it belongs to the category of non-consciousness “connectors” (viprayukatsamskara), because it is established by the empirically true knowledge, and is able to perform certain functions, does not have its own signs of physical or mental and can take place in a situation of lack of consciousness and psychic elements (samahita trance). Existing conditionally, the personality is considered to be recognized in connection and in relation to skandhas that determine its main features. When a person associates oneself with holistic material skandha (including both external and internal objects) in terms of tribal relations and social status, it defines oneself as Shakya and Kshatriya. If a person associates oneself in relation to the mind, than it defines oneself as a monk and saint srotāpanna. Since the personality has any differences from the skandhas, it can be considered as man, Shakya. Nevertheless, in as much as it is not identical to skandhas, it would remain the same personality. Such a personality should be described as “the owner of parts”, of the separate "I"'s. At the same time, once a person has any differences from skandhas, it might possess signs of skandhas – birth, being and destruction. If "I" was different from the fickle skandas, it also seemed to be something constant, permanent. Within the concept of permanent, single and separate “I”, or the concept of independent and existing substantively “I” such phenomena appears to be unacceptable, since a single entity, independent from skandhas, cannot represent few individuals at a time. However, inasmuch as “I” is not identical to these skandhas, the existence of the personality common to all these private individuals, taking place at the same time is quite possible. Thus, the actual circumstances cannot be reduced to the formula: only one set of skandhas is only one personality (at the same time). Although, there are many sets of skandhas and a lot of their respective personalities in this case, at the same time there is a combined entity that unites them all. On the other hand, the case when the same personality appears simultaneously in connection with different sets of aggregates in the same place is also pointed out. From the study of the views of Buddhist schools on the personological problems, the problem of attitudes and perceptions of the personality in Buddhism was revealed [10]. We can conclude that the concept of personality in the basic philosophical directions of Indian Buddhism (Vaibhashika, Sautrantika, Vijnanavada, Madhyamaka) in their Tibetan edition, as well as in the schools of Tibetan Buddhism can only be adequately examined in the perspective of Buddhist soteriology. The article substantiates the position that attitude to the ontological status of the essential core of the person is the main differentiating feature, which separates Buddhist schools in philosophically and psychologically and determines their soteriological features. Personological Buddhist doctrine has arisen as a result of the controversy between the schools about the views on the personality, which was developed in orthodox religious and philosophical schools of Hinduism.

## REFERENCES

- [1] De Silva, P. (2000). An introduction to Buddhist psychology. London: Rowman & Littlefield, 416 p.
- [2] Funtusov, V. S. (2007). Being of the person in the social anthropology of Buddhism. Scholarly works of Far Eastern State Technical Fisheries University, 19(3), 63-72.
- [3] Gellner, D. N. (1990). Introduction: What is the anthropology of Buddhism about? Journal of the Anthropological Society of Oxford, 21, 1-18.
- [4] Gellner, D. N. (2001). The anthropology of Buddhism and Hinduism. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 374 p.
- [5] Gombrich, R. F. (2006). Theravada Buddhism: A social history from ancient Benares to modern Colombo. London: Routledge, 363 p.
- [6] Karpickij, N. N. (2013). Bhagavad Gita: the existential and anthropological bases of the path to liberation. The Bulletin of the Tomsk State University. History, 4(24), 124-131p.
- [7] Lenkov, P. D. (2014). Traditional Buddhist and Taoist psychophysical practices in China: perspectives of anthropological approach. The Rozenberg's collection Institute of Oriental Manuscripts of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 131-134p.
- [8] Levine, M. (2011). The positive psychology of Buddhism and yoga: Paths to a mature happiness. London: Routledge, 362 p.
- [9] McMahan, David L. (2008). The Making of Buddhist Modernism. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 166 p.
- [10] Mikulas, W. (2007). Buddhism & Western psychology: Fundamentals of integration. Journal of Consciousness Studies, 14(4), 4-49.

**Т.Қ.Абдрасилов, Қ.Қ.Қалдыбай**

Қ.А.Ясауи ат. ХҚТУ, Түркістан қаласы, Қазақстан,

### **БУДДИЗМНІҢ ФИЛОСОФИЯЛЫҚ ЖӘНЕ ЭТИКАЛЫҚ ҚҰНДЫЛЫҚТАРЫ**

**Аннотация:** Бұл мақалада буддизмнің философиялық және этикалық құндылықтарының маңызды антропологиялық қырларының бірі – адамның рухани ішкі дүниесі мен оны жетілдіруі қарастырылады. Буддизмде антропоцентристік ұстаным болмағанмен, адам ресурсы, тұлғаның құндылығы басымдылыққа ие. Буддистік философияның орталық түсінігіне шығарылған – адам тәрбиелену арқылы кемелденуі тиіс, руханияттық тұрғыдан жетіліп, буддистік моральді ұстануы керек. Сондықтан да, буддистік мораль мен тәрбие асқақтану үшін философиялық тұрғыдан негізделеді.

**Түйін сөздер:** Буддизм, дін, философия, антропология, құндылықтар.

**Т.К. Абдрасилов, К. К. Калдыбай**

МКТУ им. К.А.Ясауи, г. Туркестан, Казахстан

### **ФИЛОСОФСКИЙ И ЭТИЧЕСКИЕ ЦЕННОСТИ БУДДИЗМА**

**Аннотация:** В данном труде рассматриваются основные взгляды буддизма на вопросы по поводу представлений о этической ценности в буддизме. Концепция этической ценности в основных философских направлениях буддизма может быть понята только в перспективе буддийской сотериологии. Мы делаем попытку обосновать положение, что воззрения на онтологический статус сущностного ядра личности являются основным дифференцирующим признаком, разделяющим в философско-психологическом плане школы буддизма и определяющим их сотериологические особенности.

**Ключевые слова:** Буддизм, религия, философия, антропология, этический ценности.

МАЗМҰНЫ

**Астрофизика**

*Буртебаев Н., Зазулин Д.М., Керимкулов Ж.К., Бактыбаев М., Буртебаева Дж., Алимов Д.К., Насурлла М.* Астрофизикалық энергияларда  $^{16}\text{O}(\text{p},\text{p})^{16}\text{O}$  серпімді шашырау процесінің дифференциалдық қималары бойынша жаңа өлшеулер..... 5

**Техникалық ғылымдар**

*Полецук О.Х., Яркова А.Г., Адырбекова Г.М., Журхабаева Л.А., Саидахметов П.А.* Тығыздықтың функционал теориясын қолданып триазолоксидтердің түзілу реакциясының механизмін зерттеу..... 11

*Қартбаев Т.С.* Тұлғаның аутентификациясы аясындағы есептерді шешудегі нейрожелілік технологияларды қолдану..... 19

**Биология**

*Өсікбаева С.Ө., Орынбаева З.С., Төлеуханов С.Т.* Қатерлі қуық асты ісігіне табиғи полифенолдар қосылыстарының әсер ету механизмдері..... 23

**Медицина**

*Ожикенова А.К., Құрақбаев Қ.Қ., Қаратаев М., Ожикенов Қ.А.* Күндізгі стационардағы төсек орындарының пайдалануды бақылау және талдау..... 31

**Қоғамдық ғылымдар**

*Абдралимов Т.Қ., Қалдыбай Қ.Қ.* Буддизмнің философиялық және этикалық құндылықтары..... 35

\*\*\*

**Техникалық ғылымдар**

*Удербаета А.Е., Машеков С.А., Абсадықов Б.Н.* Алюминий қорытпаларының профильдер өндірісіне талдау..... 42

*Высоцкая Н.А., Кабылбекова Б.Н., Курбанбеков К.Т., Джаксылықова Р.Б., Аманбаева К.Б., Шапалов Ш.К.* Жылуден камту жүйелерінің құбырларындағы шөккен қақтардың құрамы және олардың жуғыш ерітінділер тандаудағы рөлі..... 47

*Қартбаев Т.С.* Тұлғаның аутентификациясы аясындағы есептерді шешудегі нейрожелілік технологияларды қолдану..... 52

*Касимов Б.С., Тайсариева Қ.Н.* Радиэлектрондық құрылғылардың баспа платаларының сенімділігін аппараттық түрде жүзеге асыру..... 57

*Сахметова Г.Е., Бренер А.М., Балабеков О.С.* Сулы типті тазалайтын бағаналарда ауқымды әсерінің математикалық модельдеу..... 62

**Химия**

*Нүркенов О.А., Фазылов С.Д., Ғазалиев А.М., Сәтбаева Ж.Б., Амерханова Ш.К., Кәріпова Г.Ж.* Изоникотин қышқылы гидразиді туындыларының синтезі мен қасиеттері..... 68

*Малышев В.П., Зубрина Ю.С., Макашева А.М.* ф саны және сандардың дағдылы қатары ..... 79

*Мусабекова Л.М., Қалбаева А.Т., Балабеков О.С., Құрақбаева С.Ж., Ельбергеннова Ф.Ж.* Химиялық реакторлардағы концентрациялық осциляциялар және жылжымалы фронттар. Математикалық үлгілер және оларды талдау..... 86

*Мусабекова Л.М., Қалбаева А.Т., Балабеков О.С., Құрақбаева С.Ж., Усенова А.Ж.* Химиялық реакторлардағы концентрациялық осциляциялар және жылжымалы фронттар. Сандық эксперимент..... 96

*Насиров Р. Д.И.* Менделеевтің периодтық системасындағы IV - периодының байланыстырушы d - элементтері... 107

**Биология**

*Мырқасымова А.С.* Қырыққабаттың күн көбелектің жапырақты ағаштар үшін зиянкестігі (*Mamestra Brassicae* (Linnaeus, 1758) ..... 112

*Бахтиярова Ш.К., Қалекешов А.М., Макашев Е.К., Жақсымов Б.И., Қорғанбаева А.А., Капышева У.Н.* Маңғыстау облысы тұрғындарының қалқанша безінің функционалдық ерекшеліктері..... 118

*Махан А.Ж., Анарбекова А.І., Абидаева Р.А., Дауылбай А.Д., Рысбаева Г.С.* Цианобактерия *Spirulina*-ның биологиялық сипаттамасы мен биотехнологиядағы рөлі..... 124

*Өсікбаева С.Ө., Орынбаева З.С., Төлеуханов С.Т.* Қатерлі қуық асты ісігіне табиғи полифенолдар қосылыстарының әсер ету механизмдері..... 130

*Скиба Ю.А., Исмагулова Г.А., Чиркин А.П., Жидкеева Р.Е., Мальцева Э.Р., Бисенбай А.О., Березовский Д.В., Кузнецов А.Н., Сыздықов М.С., Айтхожина Н.А.* Бруцеллез қоздырушыларының эпидемиологиялық бақылауын жетілдіруге арналған Қазақстан аумағында айналымда жүрген *Brucella SPP* штамдарының молекулалық-генетикалық типтелуі..... 141

*Чиркин А.П., Есімбекова М.А., Мукин К.Б., Исмагулова Г.А.* Оңтүстік және оңтүстік-шығыс қазақстандық *Aegilops Cylindrica* және *Aegilops Tauschii* популяцияларының филогенетикалық талдауы..... 150

**Аграрлық ғылым**

*Салихов Т.Қ.* Астана қаласының маңындағы геоэкожүйелеріндегі топырақ жамылғысының физикалық қасиеттері..... 156

**Қоғамдық ғылымдар**

*Куртджемпе И., Дервиш Л.* Триполиға итальян әскерлерінің шабуылы, Мұстафа Кемаль және оның жауынгерлерінің жаумен күреске шығуы..... 161

*Аюпова З.К., Құсайынов Д.Ө.* Мемлекет және құқық теориясы методологиясы және пәні мәселесіне..... 172

*Картаева Т.Е.* Түйенің қазақтардың тіршілікқашы жүйесіндегі рөлі..... 179

*Кокұмбаева Б., Сағиқызы А.* «Мәңгілік ел» – рухани эволюцияның жаңа сатысы ..... 193

*Пралиев Б.С.* Қазақстанның монокалаларындағы инновациялық кәсіпкерліктің даму мәселелері..... 199

## СОДЕРЖАНИЕ

<b>Астрофизика</b>	
<i>Буртебаев Н., Зазулин Д.М., Керимкулов Ж.К., Бактыбаев М., Буртебаева Дж., Алимов Д.К., Насурлла М.</i> Новые измерения дифференциальных сечений процесса упругого рассеяния $^{16}\text{O}(p,p)^{16}\text{O}$ при астрофизических энергиях.....	5
<b>Технические науки</b>	
<i>Полещук О. Х., Яркова А. Г., Адырбекова Г.М., Журхабаева Л.А., Саидахметов П.А.</i> Исследование механизма реакции образования триазолоксидов с использованием теории функционала плотности.....	11
<i>Картбаев Т.С.</i> Использование нейросетевых технологий при решении задач в области аутентификации личности.....	19
<b>Биология</b>	
<i>Осикбаева С.О., Орынбаева З.С., Тулеуханов С.Т.</i> Механизмы действия полифенольных соединений на раковые клетки простаты.....	23
<b>Медицина</b>	
<i>Ожикенова А.К., Куракбаев К.К., Каратаев М., Ожикенов К.А.</i> Мониторинг и анализ использования коечного фонда дневных стационаров.....	31
<b>Общественные науки</b>	
<i>Абдрасилов Т.К., Калдыбай К. К.</i> Философский и этические ценности буддизма.....	35
***	
<b>Технические науки</b>	
<i>Удербаяева А.Е., Машеков С.А., Абсадыков Б.Н.</i> Анализ производства профилей из алюминиевых сплавов.....	42
<i>Высоцкая Н.А., Кабылбекова Б.Н., Курбанбеков К.Т., Джаксылыкова Р.Б., Аманбаева К.Б., Шапалов Ш.К.</i> Состав накипных отложений в трубах систем теплоснабжения, их роль в подборе промывных растворов.....	47
<i>Картбаев Т.С.</i> Использование нейросетевых технологий при решении задач в области аутентификации личности.....	52
<i>Касимов Б. С., Тайсариева К.Н.</i> Аппаратная реализация надежности печатных плат радиоэлектронных средств ....	57
<i>Сахметова Г.Е., Бренер А.М., Балабеков О.С.</i> Математическое моделирование масштабного эффекта в очистных колоннах мокрого типа.....	62
<b>Химия</b>	
<i>Нуркенов О.А., Фазылов С.Д., Газалиев А.М., Сатпаева Ж.Б., Амерханова Ш.К., Карипова Г.Ж.</i> Синтез и свойства производных гидразида изоникотиновой кислоты.....	68
<i>Мальшиев В.П., Зубрина Ю.С., Макашева А.М.</i> Число $\phi$ и натуральный ряд чисел.....	79
<i>Мусабекова Л.М., Калбаева А.Т., Балабеков О.С., Куракбаева С.Д., Ельбергеннова Г.Ж.</i> Концентрационные осцилляции и подвижные фронты в химических реакторах. Математические модели и их анализ.....	86
<i>Мусабекова Л.М., Калбаева А.Т., Балабеков О.С., Куракбаева С.Д., Усенова А.Ж.</i> Концентрационные осцилляции и подвижные фронты в химических реакторах. Численный эксперимент.....	96
<i>Насиров Р.</i> О связывающих d-элементах I-VIII групп 4-го периода периодической системы Д.И. Менделеев.....	107
<b>Биология</b>	
<i>Мыркасимова А.</i> Вредононость капустной совки ( <i>Mamestra Brassicae</i> (Linnaeus, 1758) для лиственных деревьев..	112
<i>Бахтиярова Ш.К., Калекешов А.М., Макашев Е.К., Жаксымов Б.И., Корганбаева А.А., Капышева У.Н.</i> Функциональные особенности щитовидной железы у населения мангистауской области.....	118
<i>Махан А.Ж., Анарбекова А.И., Абидаева Р.А., Дауылбай А.Д., Рысбаева Г.С.</i> Цианобактерии <i>Spirulina</i> биологическое описание и роль в биотехнологии.....	124
<i>Осикбаева С.О., Орынбаева З.С., Тулеуханов С.Т.</i> Механизмы действия полифенольных соединений на раковые клетки простаты .....	130
<i>Скиба Ю.А., Исмагулова Г.А., Чиркин А.П., Жидкеева Р.Е., Мальцева Э.Р., Бисенбай А.О., Березовский Д.В., Кузнецов А.Н., Сыздыков М.С., Айтхожина Н.А.</i> Молекулярно-генетическое типирование штаммов <i>Brucella</i> SPP., циркулирующих в Казахстане для усовершенствования эпидемиологического мониторинга возбудителей бруцеллеза.....	141
<i>Чиркин А.П., Есимбекова М.А., Мукин К.Б., Исмагулова Г.А.</i> Филогенетический анализ популяций <i>Aegilops cylindrica</i> и <i>Aegilops tauschii</i> южного и юго-восточного Казахстана.....	150
<b>Аграрные науки</b>	
<i>Салихов Т.К.</i> Физические свойства почвенного покрова геозкосистем пригорода Астаны.....	156
<b>Общественные науки</b>	
<i>Куртджепхе И., Дервиш Л.</i> Нападение итальянцев на Триполи, участие Мустафы Кемаля и его соратников в борьбе с врагом.....	161
<i>Аюпова З.К., Кусаинов Д.У.</i> К вопросу о предмете и методологии теории государства и права .....	172
<i>Картаева Т. Е.</i> Роль верблюда в системе жизнеобеспечения казахов .....	179
<i>Кокумбаева Б.Д., Сагикызы А.</i> «Мәңгілік Ел» как новая ступень духовной эволюции .....	193
<i>Прашев Б.С.</i> Проблемы развития инновационного предпринимательства в моногородах Казахстана.....	199

CONTENT

<b>Astrophysics</b>	
<i>Burtebayev N., Zazulin D.M., Kerimkulov Zh.K., Baktybayev M., Burtebayeva J., Alimov D.K., Nassurilla M.</i> New measurements of differential cross section for elastic scattering process of $^{16}\text{O}(p,p)^{16}\text{O}$ at astrophysical energies.....	5
<b>Technical sciences</b>	
<i>Poleshchuk O.Kh., Yarkova A.G., Adyrbekova G.M., Zhurhabayeva L. A., Saidakhmetov P.A.</i> Study of the mechanism of the reaction of triazolide's formation of using the density functional theory.....	11
<i>Kartbayev T.S.</i> Using the neural network technology in solving the tasks of personal identification .....	19
<b>Biology</b>	
<i>Ossikbayeva S.O., Orynbayeva Z.S., Tuleukhanov S.T.</i> The mechanism of polyphenolic compounds on prostate cancer.....	23
<b>Medicine</b>	
<i>Ozhikenova A.K., Kurakbayev K.K., Karataev M., Ozhikenov K.A.</i> Monitoring and analysis of bedspace use in day hospitals.....	31
<b>Social sciences</b>	
<i>Abdrasilov T.K., Kaldybay K.K.</i> Philosophical and ethical values of buddhism.....	35
***	
<b>Technical sciences</b>	
<i>Uderbaeva A.E., Mashekov S.A., Absadykov B.N.</i> Analysis of the production of aluminum alloy.....	42
<i>Vysotskaya N. A., Kabylbekovab.N., Kurbanbekov K. T., Dzhaksylykova R. B., Amanbayev K. B., Shapalov Sh.K.</i> Structure of furring deposits in pipes of systems heat supply systems, its role in selection of washing solutions.....	47
<i>Kartbayev T.S.</i> Using the neural network technology in solving the tasks of personal identification .....	52
<i>Kassimov B. S., Taissariyeva K. N.</i> Apparatus realized reliability of radio electronic facilities' print boards.....	57
<i>Sakhmetova G.E., Brener A.M., Balabekov O.S.</i> Mathematical modelling of the scale-up phenomenon in purification of wet tyre towers .....	62
<b>Chemistry</b>	
<i>Nurkenov O.A., Fazylov S.D., Gazaliev, A.M. Satpaeva Zh.B., Amerkhanova Zh.K., Karipova G.Zh.</i> Synthesis and properties derivatives of hydrazide isonicotinic acid.....	68
<i>Malyshev V.P., Zubrina Y.S., Makasheva A.M.</i> Number $\phi$ and natural series of numbers.....	79
<i>Musabekova L.M., Kalbayeva A.T., Balabekov O.S., Kurakbayeva S.D., Elbergenova G.Zh.</i> Concentration oscillations and moving fronts in the chemical reactors. Mathematical models and their analysis.....	86
<i>Musabekova L.M., Kalbayeva A.T., Balabekov O.S., Kurakbayeva S.D., Usenova A.Zh.</i> Concentration oscillations and moving fronts in the chemical reactors. Numerical experiment.....	96
<i>Nasirov R.</i> Binding d-elements of the 4th period I-VIII groups of the periodic system.....	107
<b>Biology</b>	
<i>Myrkasimova A.C.</i> Deleterious of cabbage moth ( <i>Mamestra Brassicae</i> (Linnaeus, 1758) for deciduous trees.....	112
<i>Бахтиярова Ш.К., Қалекешов А.М., Макашев Е.К., Жақсымов Б.И., Қорғанбаева А.А., Капышева У.Н.</i> Маңғыстау облысы тұрғындарының қалқанша безінің функционалдық ерекшеліктері.....	118
<i>Makhan A.Zh., Anarbekova A.I., Abildaeva R.A., Dauilbai A.D., Rysbayeva G.S.</i> Cyanobacteria <i>Spirulina</i> : biological characteristics and the role in biotechnology.....	124
<i>Ossikbayeva S.O., Orynbayeva Z.S., Tuleukhanov S.T.</i> The mechanism of polyphenolic compounds on prostate cancer.....	130
<i>Skiba Y. A., Ismagulova G. A., Chirkin A. P., Zhidkeeva R.E., Maltseva E. R., Bissenbay A.O., Berezovsky D.V., Kuznetsov A. N., Syzdykov M. S., Aitkhozhina N.A.</i> Molecular-genetic typing of <i>brucella</i> SPP. strains circulating in Kazakhstan for the improvement of epidemiological monitoring of brucellosis causative agents.....	141
<i>Chirkin A.P., Yessimbekova M.A., Mukin K.B., Ismagulova G.A.</i> Phylogenetic analysis of <i>Aegilops cylindrica</i> and <i>Aegilops Tauschii</i> populations inhabiting the territory of southern and south-eastern Kazakhstan.....	150
<b>Agricultural sciences</b>	
<i>Salikhov T.K.</i> The physical properties of soil geoecosystems of Astana suburb .....	156
<b>Social Sciences</b>	
<i>Kurtcephe İ., Dervish L.</i> The italian attack on Tripoli, the part of Mustafa Kemal and his associates in the fight with the Enemy.....	161
<i>Ayupova Z.K., Kussaino D.U.</i> To the question of the subject and methodology of the theory of the state and the law.....	172
<i>Kartaeva T.E.</i> The role of camel in the life of the Kazakhs.....	179
<i>Kokumbayeva B.D., Sagikyzy A.</i> Маңғілік Ел (Мәңгілік Ел) as a new stage of spirit evolution.....	193
<i>Praliev B.S.</i> Problems of development of innovative business in monocities of Kazakhstan.....	199

---

---

**Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice  
in the journals of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan**

For information on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication see <http://www.elsevier.com/publishingethics> and <http://www.elsevier.com/journal-authors/ethics>.

Submission of an article to the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture or academic thesis or as an electronic preprint, see <http://www.elsevier.com/postingpolicy>), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. In particular, translations into English of papers already published in another language are not accepted.

No other forms of scientific misconduct are allowed, such as plagiarism, falsification, fraudulent data, incorrect interpretation of other works, incorrect citations, etc. The National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan follows the Code of Conduct of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), and follows the COPE Flowcharts for Resolving Cases of Suspected Misconduct ([http://publicationethics.org/files/u2/New\\_Code.pdf](http://publicationethics.org/files/u2/New_Code.pdf)). To verify originality, your article may be checked by the originality detection service Cross Check <http://www.elsevier.com/editors/plagdetect>.

The authors are obliged to participate in peer review process and be ready to provide corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. All authors of a paper should have significantly contributed to the research.

The reviewers should provide objective judgments and should point out relevant published works which are not yet cited. Reviewed articles should be treated confidentially. The reviewers will be chosen in such a way that there is no conflict of interests with respect to the research, the authors and/or the research funders.

The editors have complete responsibility and authority to reject or accept a paper, and they will only accept a paper when reasonably certain. They will preserve anonymity of reviewers and promote publication of corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. The acceptance of a paper automatically implies the copyright transfer to the National Academy of sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan.

The Editorial Board of the National Academy of sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan will monitor and safeguard publishing ethics.

Правила оформления статьи для публикации в журнале смотреть на сайте:

[www.nauka-nanrk.kz](http://www.nauka-nanrk.kz)

**ISSN 2518-1483 (Online), ISSN 2224-5227 (Print)**

<http://www.reports-science.kz/index.php/ru/>

Редакторы *М. С. Ахметова, Д. С. Аленов, Т.А. Апендиев, А.Е. Бейсебаева*  
Верстка на компьютере *А.М. Кульгинбаевой*

Подписано в печать 10.02.2017.  
Формат 60x881/8. Бумага офсетная. Печать – ризограф.  
13 п.л. Тираж 2000. Заказ 1.

---

---

*Национальная академия наук РК*  
*050010, Алматы, ул. Шевченко, 28, т. 272-13-18, 272-13-19*